

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JOHN DOE #1 AND JOHN DOE #2,
Plaintiffs,
vs.
TWITTER, INC.,
Defendant.

Case No. 3:21-cv-00485-JCS

**[PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING
DEFENDANT TWITTER, INC.'S MOTION
FOR LEAVE TO FILE MOTION FOR
RECONSIDERATION, OR IN THE
ALTERNATIVE, CERTIFICATION FOR
INTERLOCUTORY APPEAL**

Hearing Date: November 19, 2021
Hearing Time: 9:30 a.m.
Judge: Honorable Joseph C. Spero

Defendant Twitter, Inc.'s ("Twitter") Motion for Leave to File Motion for
Reconsideration or, in the Alternative Motion for an Order Permitting an Interlocutory Appeal
Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1292(b) ("Motion") regarding the Court's order on Twitter's Motion to
Dismiss Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint (ECF No. 69, "Order") was heard on November
19, 2021, at 9:30 a.m. by this Court. Counsel for Plaintiffs and Counsel for Twitter appeared

**[PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT
TWITTER, INC.'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO
FILE MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION,
OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE, CERTIFICATION
FOR INTERLOCUTORY APPEAL**

Case No. 3:21-cv-00485-JCS

1 via videoconference.

2 Having considered all papers filed in support of and in opposition to the Motion, any
3 oral arguments of counsel, and all other pleadings and papers on file herein, the Court finds as
4 follows:
5

6 1. Twitter's Motion for Leave to File Motion for Reconsideration of the Order is
7 **DENIED.**

8 2. Twitter's Motion for an Order Permitting an Interlocutory Appeal pursuant to 28
9 U.S.C. § 1292(b) is **DENIED.**

10 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

11
12
13 Dated: _____

The Hon. Joseph C. Spero
United States Magistrate Judge

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
[PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT
TWITTER, INC.'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO
FILE MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION,
OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE, CERTIFICATION
FOR INTERLOCUTORY APPEAL